

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,091	(	03/30/2004	Gerald J. Lozinski	Mrcofe-2-4277	7301
	7590	06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
Lawrence 3	J. Shurupo	off	HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R		
Sunbeam Pr	oducts, Înc				
2381 Executive Center Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boca Raton, FL 33431				3721	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/814,091	LOZINSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher R. Harmon	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,14 and 16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,14 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/814,091

Art Unit: 3721

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rehman et al. (US 5,012,629).

Rehman et al. disclose an infusion pod apparatus comprising mold 12 with rim 18; bolt carrier 30; bolt 22 see figure 2. The bolt presses against a second layer of material and pushes infusible material into mold were an infusion pod is sealed; see figures 1 and 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-5, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Rehman et al. (US 5,012,629).

Rehman et al. discloses an infusion pod apparatus as noted above. The bolt 22 and bolt carrier 30 are movable relative with respect to one another. Bolt carrier sealing surface 28 presses the flange against the mold sealing surface 16; see figure 2. The

Application/Control Number: 10/814,091

Art Unit: 3721

size/portability of the invention of Rehman is not clear, however given the size of the infusion product and therefore the apparatus the invention is considered portable and capable of being used in a home kitchen. Because this information is not clearly present in the disclosure it is also the examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such an apparatus as described by Rehman in a portable unit capable of use in a home kitchen. Regarding claims 7-8, the filter material acted upon does not further limit the apparatus and the apparatus is fully capable of performing the intended operation on both woven thermoplastics and paper.

5. Claims 2-3, 6, 11-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rehman et al. (US 5,012,629) in view of Short (US 5,419,245).

Rehman does not disclose a resilient member for biasing the bolt outwardly from the bolt carrier, however Short teaches the concept of using a bolt carrier which has resilient biasing spring members to bias the pressing surface. Short shows bolt means slideably mounted in a bolt carrier channel as shown in figure 3. Short also discloses that the springs cause the press plate to continue to be forced downward by the biasing nature of the compressed springs 63 and that the springs have particular spring rates. Short shows the forming press being manually axially moveable relative to the mold via crank handle 73. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the biasing spring pressing means of Short in the invention to Rehman et al. for controlling the pressure applied between the mold and form/bolt configuration.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Note that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Note also, the grammar and intended meaning of terms used in a claim will dictate whether the language limits the claim scope. Language such as "adapted to" that suggests or makes optional but does not require steps to be performed or does not limit a claim to a particular structure does not limit the scope of a claim or claim limitation.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/814,091 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher R. Harmon whose telephone number is (571) 272-4461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ch

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700